
NOTICE OF MEETING

CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING

TUESDAY, 30 JULY 2019 AT 5.00 PM

EXECUTIVE MEETING ROOM - THE GUILDHALL

Telephone enquiries to Joanne Wildsmith Democratic Services Tel: 9283 4057

Email: joanne.wildsmith@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

If any member of the public wishing to attend the meeting has access requirements, please notify the contact named above.

CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING

Councillor Darren Sanders (Liberal Democrat)

Group Spokespersons

Councillor Cal Corkery, Labour

Councillor Scott Payter-Harris, Conservative

(NB This Agenda should be retained for future reference with the minutes of this meeting.)

Please note that the agenda, minutes and non-exempt reports are available to view online on the Portsmouth City Council website: www.portsmouth.gov.uk

Deputations by members of the public may be made on any item where a decision is going to be taken. The request should be made in writing to the contact officer (above) by 12 noon of the working day before the meeting, and must include the purpose of the deputation (for example, for or against the recommendations). Email requests are accepted.

A G E N D A

- 1 Apologies for Absence**
- 2 Declaration of Interests**
- 3 Forward Plan Omission**

The Doyle Avenue Development of Council Homes report, by the Director of Housing, Neighbourhood and Building Services, was omitted from the Forward

plan giving 28 days public notice. The Chair of the City Council's Scrutiny Management Panel has been notified and a public notice published, and the Forward Plan has since been updated to reflect this item.

RECOMMENDED that

- (1) the omission to the Forward Plan for July 2019 be noted;**
- (2) that publication of the omission notice be noted.**

4 Doyle Avenue Development of Council Homes (Pages 5 - 16)

The report by the Director of Housing, Neighbourhood and Building Services seeks approval from the Cabinet Member for Housing to engage the Regeneration team to deliver a new development of 16 homes; 9 two bedroom flats, 3 four bedroom disabled adapted houses and 4 three bedroom houses on the site at the corner of Doyle Avenue and Northern Parade. It also seeks approval of the financial appraisal which confirms that these homes will be delivered by and retained within the HRA.

RECOMMENDED

- (1) that the Cabinet Member for Housing approve the following:**

- (i) Capital Expenditure amounting to £4.2m:**

a development of 16 units (a mixture of flats and houses) on the Doyle Avenue Site at a total cost of £4.2m

- (ii) to fund the £4.2m of Capital Expenditure, with unsupported borrowing of £2.94m and Right to Buy receipts of £1.26m**
 - (iii) that subject to the requirements of recommendation (iv), authority be delegated to the Director of Housing, Neighbourhood and Building in consultation with the Head of Finance & S151 Officer to amend the composition and spending profile of the proposed schemes in order to meet planning and design requirements.**
 - (iv) that a financial appraisal approved by the Head of Finance & S151 Officer must be completed prior to the commencement of any scheme, that confirms that the proposed scheme remains viable and provides an overall benefit to the 30 year HRA Business Plan**
- (2) That the Cabinet Member for Housing approves immediate consultation with relevant stakeholders to ensure the community are engaged and informed about this development.**

Members of the public are permitted to use both audio visual recording devices and social media during this meeting, on the understanding that it neither disrupts the meeting nor records those stating explicitly that they do not wish to be recorded. Guidance on the use of devices at meetings open to the public is available on the Council's website and posters on the wall of the meeting's venue.

Whilst every effort will be made to webcast this meeting, should technical or other difficulties occur, the meeting will continue without being webcast via the Council's website.

This meeting is webcast (videoed), viewable via the Council's livestream account at <https://livestream.com/accounts/14063785>

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4



Portsmouth
CITY COUNCIL

Title of meeting: Cabinet Member for Housing

Date of meeting: 30th July 2019

Subject: Doyle Avenue Development of Council Homes

Report by: James Hill, Director of Housing, Neighbourhood and Building Services

Wards affected: Hilsea

Key decision: Yes/~~No~~

Full Council decision: ~~Yes~~/No

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1 To seek approval from the Cabinet Member for Housing to engage the Regeneration team to deliver a new development of 16 homes; 9 two bedroom flats, 3 four bedroom disabled adapted houses and 4 three bedroom houses on the site at the corner of Doyle Avenue and Northern Parade
- 1.2 To seek approval of the financial appraisal which confirms that these homes will be delivered by and retained within the HRA.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Housing approve the following:
 - 1) Capital Expenditure amounting to £4.2m:
 - I. A development of 16 units (a mixture of flats and houses) on the Doyle Avenue Site at a total cost of £4.2m
 - 2) to fund the £4.2m of Capital Expenditure, with unsupported borrowing of £2.94m and Right to Buy receipts of £1.26m
 - 3) that subject to the requirements of recommendation 4, authority be delegated to the Director of Housing, Neighbourhood and Building in consultation with the Head of Finance & S151 Officer to amend the composition and spending profile of the proposed schemes in order to meet planning and design requirements.
 - 4) that a financial appraisal approved by the Head of Finance & S151 Officer must be completed prior to the commencement of any scheme,

that confirms that the proposed scheme remains viable and provides an overall benefit to the 30 year HRA Business Plan

- 2.2 That the Cabinet Member for Housing approves immediate consultation with relevant stakeholders to ensure the community are engaged and informed about this development.

3. Background

- 3.1 The vacant health and Adult Social Care day centres, which occupied this site were demolished in 2011 to make way for the Maritime House development. This area of the site was not needed for the development and subsequently Council at their meeting of 15 November 2011 approved the following minute 99 (2.1)
- Council approve the appropriation of the previous PCT clinic site and adjacent Northern Parade day centre site into the Housing Revenue Account for the future development of around 14 affordable family homes and the Council aims to keep these homes in local authority control and that a paper will be produced to a later meeting of the Cabinet to show how this may be achieved.**
- 3.2 Whilst the site offered a good development opportunity the borrowing cap on the Housing Revenue Account meant that it was not prudent to bring forward this development with the borrowing cap in place, the removal of the borrowing cap has allowed us to proceed with the development.
- 3.3 The site was included in the five sites to be considered for development through the formation of Ravelin Housing Company, the subject of a cabinet report dated 9th October 2018.
- 3.4 The removal of the HRA borrowing cap in 30th October 2018 then provided the opportunity for this site to be delivered and held within the HRA providing social housing designed to meet the most urgent housing need on the housing register.
- 3.5 The removal of the site from the five site development portfolio has no financial consequence to the remaining sites.
- 3.6 The makeup of the build recommended for this site has come from the areas of priority identified from our housing waiting list. The makeup suggested offers a mix of family homes across the site providing 2 and 3 bedroom general needs homes which is where we have our highest demand. We have also allocated three four bedroom homes to meet the needs of those on our accessible housing waiting list who require adapted homes.

- 3.7 The disabled adapted homes will be allocated to specific families and be specifically designed for their particular needs. People with an adapted need can face a long wait for homes as there are limited properties available within our city to meet the needs of these households. These properties will be exempt from Right to Buy.
- 3.8 A small block of nine two bedroom flats is included in the design providing much needed family homes. These homes do not benefit from outdoor communal gardens but are located close to the public open space facilities of Alexandra Park and Hilsea lines offering good places for children to play.
- 3.9 The current financial appraisal for this development uses a model with rents set at affordable levels. This means that at a maximum, the rents will be set at the Local Housing Allowance rate. During the detailed work to prepare design and costs for this development, assessment will be made of the possibility of reducing the rent to a different level. This will only be possible if the development remains cost effective, once appraised as indicated in recommendation 2.1 (4).
- 3.10 Allocation to the development will include affordability checks to ensure that the rent level is affordable for the tenants moving into the scheme. We will ensure that no one will move into any of the properties who cannot afford it.
- 3.11 The climate emergency declared by full council in March 2019, and the ongoing commitment to improve air quality, will be considered in the design of this development to ensure the lowest practical impact on carbon and nitrogen dioxide emissions. The design and build will mitigate the energy demand of the development and show PCC leading the way in this area. We will look to technologies such as solar PV, battery storage and heat pumps to mitigate the need for items such as gas boilers and will ensure that where power and heat is required by the dwellings; as much of it is derived from onsite renewables as possible.

4. Reasons for recommendations

- 4.1 These homes will provide much needed homes for residents and support Portsmouth City Councils corporate priority 1 "Make Portsmouth a city that works together, enabling communities to thrive and people to live healthy, safe and independent lives".
- 4.2 This scheme will increase the overall number of homes in the HRA with the property makeup recommended for this site directly linked to our housing waiting list need. With two and three bedroom homes being our highest general needs requirement.
- 4.3 The site also includes provision within this development for disabled adapted homes for families who have been on the waiting list for a significant period and

who require a tailored form of housing. Those houses will then be retained within the PCC housing stock and exempt from right to buy to ensure they continue to provide a valuable source of adapted housing.

5. Equality impact assessment

- 5.1 A Preliminary Equality Impact Assessment has been completed and no adverse equality implications were identified. Appendix A.

6. Legal implications

- 6.1 The recommendations are within the power of the Cabinet Member for Housing to adopt, and for the City Council to approve, and raise no immediate notable legal implications.

7. Director of Finance's comments

- 7.1 A financial appraisal has been completed that demonstrates that at a cost of £4.2 m that the scheme would be financially viable if funded using the following funding sources and rented as affordable capped at the Local Housing Allowance Rate. In October 2018 the Chancellor announced that the borrowing cap imposed on the Housing revenue account was to be removed and instead the Council now has unlimited borrowing capacity provided that the borrowing is in line with the prudential code, in other words it must be financially viable, the borrowing must be affordable, sustainable and prudent.

	£m's
HRA Unsupported Borrowing	2.66
Right to Buy Receipts	1.14
Total Scheme Development	3.80

- 7.2 It would not be possible to rent this as a 100% socially rented development and still be financially viable. The current cost includes the construction and other associated costs and an amount for risk. During the design phase and following the procurement of a contractor it could be that the costs reduce so that the Council is able to amend the type of tenure that could be offered.
- 7.3 Although this development is currently aimed at providing the type of accommodation that is required by the HRA in meeting current and future housing need across the city, it is recognised that planning requirements may result in necessary amendments to designs and compositions which may alter scheme costs and outcomes for the completed dwellings. As a result it is recommended that authority be delegated to the Director of Housing Neighbourhood and Building Services in consultation with the Head of Finance & S151 Officer to amend the composition, spending profile and subsequent use of

the proposed schemes following any necessary changes, to ensure that each scheme remains financially viable.

- 7.4 Although the limit of indebtedness has been removed from the Housing revenue Account this does still effect the Council's overall limit of indebtedness which is governed by its Treasury management Policy. This report recommends that should the additional unsupported borrowing required to deliver this development increase the Council's overall limited of indebtedness above that set in the Council's Treasury management policy, that Council approve the limit to be increased by up to a further £2.94m.

.....
Signed by:

Appendices:

Background list of documents: Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972

The following documents disclose facts or matters, which have been relied upon to a material extent by the author in preparing this report:

Title of document	Location
EIA	Appendix A

The recommendation(s) set out above were approved/ approved as amended/ deferred/ rejected by on

.....
Signed by:

Equality Impact Assessment

Preliminary assessment form 2018

www.portsmouthccg.nhs.uk

www.portsmouth.gov.uk

The preliminary impact assessment is a quick and easy screening process. It should:

- identify those policies, projects, services, functions or strategies which require a full EIA by looking at:
 - negative, positive or no impact on any of the equality groups
 - How are going to mitigate or remove any potential negative impacts
 - opportunity to promote equality for the equality groups
 - data / feedback
- prioritise if and when a full EIA should be completed
- justify reasons for why a full EIA is not going to be completed

Directorate:

Housing, neighbourhood & building services

Service, function:

Business Relationships

Title of policy, service, function, project or strategy (new or old) :

Development of 16 properties on the vacant land at Doyle Avenue, the development will consist of 9 flats and 7 houses.

Within the development will be 3 x four bed houses, specifically designed for full time wheelchair users and will be allocated via the accessible waiting list held by housing options.

Type of policy, service, function, project or strategy:

- ☐ Existing
- ☒ New / proposed
- ☐ Changed

Q1 - What is the aim of your policy, service, function, project or strategy?

To create 16 new affordable homes within the HRA.

Q2 - Who is this policy, service, function, project or strategy going to benefit or have a detrimental effect on and how?

These new properties will be held within the HRA and let to people on the housing waiting list.

The development will include 3 properties which will be designed for specific families who having been waiting for homes suitable for adaptations to be made or in this case to be built with the end user known.

Portsmouth City Council has a waiting list in excess of 2300 with the highest demand for 2 and 3 bed properties.

As an authority we need to build to meet our waiting list, therefore this new development has 9 flats, made up of 2 & 3 beds. with 4 x 3 bed houses wsitting alongside the 3 x 4 bed accessible houses.

Q3 - Thinking about each group below, does, or could the policy, service, function, project or strategy have a negative impact on members of the equality groups below?

Group	Negative	Positive / no impact	Unclear
Age	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sex	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender reassignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy and maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Marriage & civil partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other excluded groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note:Other excluded groups examples includes,Homeless, rough sleeper and unpaid carers. Many forms of exclusion are linked to financial disadvantage. How will this change affect people on low incomes, in financial crisis or living in areas of greater deprivation?

If the answer is "negative" or "unclear" consider doing a full EIA

If there are any potential negative impacts on any of the protected characteristics, What have you put in place to mitigate or remove the negative impacts/barriers?

Q4 - Does, or could the policy, service, function, project or strategy help to promote equality for members of the equality groups? e.g. A new service has been created for people with a disability to help them gain employment this would mean that this helps promote equality for the protected characteristic of disability only.

Group	Yes	No	Unclear
Age	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sex	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender reassignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy or maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Marriage & civil partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other excluded groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If the answer is "no" or "unclear" consider doing a full EIA

Q5 - Do you have any feedback data from the equality groups that influences, affects or shapes this policy, service, function, project or strategy?
Please add in the text boxes below what feedback / meetings you have attended for each specific protected characteristic

Group	Positive or negative feedback
Age	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Disability	specific information id known in relation to the families requiring accessible units.
Race	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Sex	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Gender reassignment	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Sexual orientation	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Religion or belief	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Pregnancy and maternity	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Marriage & civil partnership	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.
Other excluded groups	no specific information was gathered regarding the building of these properties.

Q6 - Using the assessments in questions 3, 4 and 5 should a full assessment be carried out on this policy, service, function or strategy?

☐ yes ☒ No

PCC staff-If you have to complete a full EIA please contact the Equalities and diversity team if you require help Tel: 023 9283 4789 or email: equalities@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

CCG staff-If you have to complete a full EIA please email: sehccg.equalityanddiveristy@nhs.net if you require help

Q7 - How have you come to this decision? Summarise your findings and conclusion below

This EIA covers the proposal to deliver 16 new homes via a new build development to be held within the HRA.

Q8 - Who was involved in the EIA?

Alison Cloutman

This EIA has been approved by: Jo Bennet

Contact number:

1039

Date:

10-07-2019

PCC staff-Please email a copy of your completed EIA to the Equality and diversity team. We will contact you with any comments or queries about your preliminary EIA.

Telephone: 023 9283 4789, Email: equalities@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

CCG staff-Please email a copy of your completed EIA to the Equality lead who will contact you with any comments or queries about your preliminary . Email: sehccg.equalityanddiversity@nhs.net

This page is intentionally left blank